

**PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
Wildfire Mitigation Plans
Rulemaking 18-10-007
Data Response**

PG&E Data Request No.:	CalAdvocates_040-Q15		
PG&E File Name:	WildfireMitigationPlans_DR_CalAdvocates_040-Q15		
Request Date:	February 19, 2021	Requester DR No.:	CalAdvocates-PGE-2021WMP-06
Date Sent:	February 26, 2021	Requesting Party:	Public Advocates Office
PG&E Witness:		Requester:	Alan Wehrman

The following questions relate to PG&E's 2021 Wildfire Mitigation Plan (WMP) Update.

Subject: Vegetation Management programs.

Per attachment 7.3.5_RSE_Input_Template_EO_WLDFR.xlsm, worksheet "7.3.5.15 – EVM", EVM appears to also be referred to as "Remediation of at-risk species." These questions use these terms interchangeably.

QUESTION 15

In response to data request CalAdvocates-PGE-R181007-33, Question 3, PG&E stated that work verification was performed on a specific segment on August 12 and August 31, 2020. These are the same dates that the pre-inspector performed their inspections, per CalAdvocates-PGE-R181007-29, Question 1. It appears no other work verification was done to verify that tree work was performed correctly.

- a. Please explain the case discussed above. Was work verification performed following pre-inspection and prior to tree work?
- b. If the answer to part (a) is yes, is this standard work verification practice?
- c. If the answer to part (a) is no, please provide the dates and results of all work verification performed on this segment in 2020, from the pre-inspection through the completion of EVM on this segment.

ANSWER 15

- a. In this unique occurrence, WV was in the process of inspecting this segment for the potential need to add the segment to EVM's scope of work. While WV was inspecting the segment, a PG&E pre-inspector identified this tree as being a priority 1 tree. With that, tree work associated with this segment fell under PG&E's Routine VM program, which does not require EVM WV to be performed.

WV was performed on this segment following pre-inspection for the purpose of developing a scope for EVM. However, the WV performed was not directly related to the tree work associated with this case.

- b. Yes, it is standard work verification practice to assess segments for the purpose of developing a scope of work for EVM. It is also standard for priority 1 trees to fall under PG&E's routine VM program.
- c. The answer to (a) is yes, therefore no response is required for c.