

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
Wildfire Mitigation Plans Discovery 2022
Data Response

PG&E Data Request No.:	CalAdvocates_008-Q01		
PG&E File Name:	WMP-Discovery2022_DR_CalAdvocates_008-Q01		
Request Date:	January 28, 2022	Requester DR No.:	CalAdvocates-PGE-2022WMP-08
Date Sent:	February 25, 2022	Requesting Party:	Public Advocates Office
PG&E Witness:		Requester:	Alan Wehrman

The following questions relate to the PG&E Independent Monitor Report of November 19, 2021, Kirkland & Ellis LLP, filed on November 23, 2021 (the Monitor's 2021 report),¹ and PG&E's responses to Data Request CalAdvocates-PGE-2022WMP-06, dated January 10 and 14, 2022.

QUESTION 01

PG&E's response to Data Request CalAdvocates-PGE-2022WMP-06 states that the ignition occurring on June 21, 2021 was CPUC reportable.²

- a) Please provide a copy of each ignition report (for the ignition referenced above) that PG&E submitted to the CPUC.
- b) If PG&E did not submit any ignition reports for the ignition referenced above, please explain why not.

ANSWER 01

- a) We have not yet submitted the ignition report for this incident to the CPUC. This incident met the definition of a reportable ignition per CPUC Decision (D.) 14-02-015 and will be reported to the CPUC as part of our 2021 Fire Incident Data Collection Plan in April of 2022. This incident did not meet the criteria for reportability as an Electric Incident.
- b) Reports on incidents that are reportable ignitions, per D.14-02-015, are submitted annually. We intend to submit 2021 CPUC reportable ignition data to the CPUC on April 1, 2022.

¹ Kirkland & Ellis LLP, PG&E Independent Monitor Report of November 19, 2021 (Case No. 14-CR-00175- WHA Doc. No. 1524-1), November 23, 2021.

² PG&E's response to Data Request CalAdvocates-PGE-2022WMP-06, Question 1, Attachment 1, p. 1.