

**PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY**  
**Wildfire Mitigation Plans**  
**Rulemaking 18-10-007**  
**Data Response**

PG&E Data Request No.:	CalAdvocates_055-Q04		
PG&E File Name:	WildfireMitigationPlans_DR_CalAdvocates_055-Q04		
Request Date:	April 26, 2021	Requester DR No.:	2021WMP-021
Date Sent:	May 4, 2021	Requesting Party:	Public Advocates Office
PG&E Witness:		Requester:	Alan Wehrman

**QUESTION 04**

Please respond to the following questions regarding the 2020 climbing inspections of the 967 towers described above in Question 3.

- a) Please describe the quality assurance and quality control processes performed in relation to these inspections.
- b) Did the processes described in part (a) exceed those applied to other transmission tower climbing inspections performed in 2020? For example, did PG&E perform any internal audits, Electric Quality Assurance audits, physical reinspection, etc. as part of the quality assurance and quality control related to these inspections?
- c) What was the typical size of crews that performed these inspections (how many people per crew)? If there is significant variation, please provide the range and median crew size.
- d) How many crews performed these inspections?
- e) What was the mean time in person-hours spent on these climbing inspections?
- f) What was the median time in person-hours spent on these climbing inspections?

**ANSWER 04**

- a) PG&E does not have a specific quality assurance and quality control process related to transmission tower climbing inspections.
- b) N/A; Please see answer to subpart a. In 2020, there was not a formal process and/or documentation regarding quality assurance and quality control related to transmission tower climbing inspections.
- c) 5 Man Tower Crew
- d) Dependent upon the area but system wide average 5 to 10
- e) The goal was to average 10 Towers a day working 12 hours. Time was dependent on terrain that the towers were located in.
- f) See response to 4(e)