

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
Wildfire Mitigation Plans
Rulemaking 18-10-007
Data Response

PG&E Data Request No.:	CalAdvocates_040-Q04		
PG&E File Name:	WildfireMitigationPlans_DR_CalAdvocates_040-Q04		
Request Date:	February 19, 2021	Requester DR No.:	CalAdvocates-PGE-2021WMP-06
Date Sent:	March 1, 2021	Requesting Party:	Public Advocates Office
PG&E Witness:		Requester:	Alan Wehrman

The following questions relate to PG&E's 2021 Wildfire Mitigation Plan (WMP) Update.

Subject: Mitigation program effectiveness and risk spend efficiency (RSE)

QUESTION 04

P. 65 of PG&E's 2021 WMP includes an explanation for PG&E's estimate of the failure rates for Priority A and B tags. PG&E calculates a failure rate of about 84% for Priority A tags, then states, "This was conservatively reduced to 70 percent after review with the PG&E team." PG&E calculates a failure rate of about 38% for priority B tags, then states, "This was adjusted to 50 percent after review with the PG&E team."

- a. Please explain PG&E's justification for lowering the estimated failure rate for Priority A tags and provide any available evidence to support the revised failure rate.
- b. Please explain PG&E's justification for increasing the estimated failure rate for Priority B tags and provide any available evidence to support the revised failure rate.
- c. Please explain why PG&E made adjustments in the estimated failure rates of Priority A and B tags in different directions (i.e. lowering the estimated failure rate for Priority A tags from the calculated value, while raising the estimated failure rate for Priority B tags from the calculated value).

ANSWER 04

- a. This was discussed with subject matter experts, which thought 84% could be too high. There is no additional evidence to support the revised failure rate.
- b. This was discussed with subject matter experts, which thought 38% could be too low. There is no additional evidence to support the revised failure rate.
- c. Adjustments were made based on subject matter expert feedback and do not have any specific reason why adjustments were made in different directions.