

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
Wildfire Mitigation Plans Discovery 2022
Data Response

PG&E Data Request No.:	CalAdvocates_005-Q05		
PG&E File Name:	WMP-Discovery2022_DR_CalAdvocates_005-Q05		
Request Date:	December 23, 2021	Requester DR No.:	CalAdvocates-PGE-2022WMP-05
Date Sent:	January 10, 2022	Requesting Party:	Public Advocates Office
PG&E Witness:		Requester:	Alan Wehrman

The following questions relate to the article *Humboldt County Issues Stop Work Order, PG&E Removes Contractor on EVM in Sohum After Complaints/Video by Residents*, published in Redheaded Blackbelt on December 16, 2021 (the article).¹ This article describes activities performed by a contractor allegedly performing EVM work for PG&E in Humboldt County.

QUESTION 05

The article states that a PG&E spokesperson confirmed that KDF “did not complete the work to [PG&E’s] satisfaction.”

- a) Is PG&E aware of other instances during 2021 in which KDF did not complete EVM work to PG&E’s satisfaction?
- b) If the answer to part (a) is yes, please list all such instances, including
 - i. the location of the work,
 - ii. the date(s) of the work, and
 - iii. the reasons that the work was unsatisfactory.

ANSWER 05

- a) The referenced article did not accurately quote PG&E’s spokesperson. PG&E acknowledges the need to complete additional clean-up work to a satisfactory state but not that KDF completed unsatisfactory work. A different vendor, not KDF, had left debris along Thomas Road, which KDF took on cleaning up when the vendor vacated on their own accord and the remaining work was assigned to KDF.
- b) N/A

¹ <https://kymkemp.com/2021/12/16/humboldt-county-issues-stop-work-order-pge-removes-contractor-on-evm-in-sohum-after-complaints-video-by-residents/>.